



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/805,187	03/13/2001	Robert J. Tuttle	PC-738CIP	1707
23717 7590 04/29/2004 LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN S STEINBERGER 101 BREVARD AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			PHAN, JOSEPH T	
	COCOA, FL 32922			PAPER NUMBER
		•	2645	//
•			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/805,187	TUTTLE, ROBERT J.
· · ·	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Joseph T Phan	2645
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date o	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE steep on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	•
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided bele) will be entered and an ow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:		FAN TSANG VISORY PATENT EXAMINER HNOLOGY CENTER 2600
Patent and Trademark Office		phy

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 11





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new claim revisions raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.